



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/744,384

STUDER

EXAMINER

LOEB

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1036

16

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bronwen Loeb, Examiner (3) Melissa Pytel, App's representative
(2) James Ketter, Primary examiner (4) Wendy Seffrood, App's representative

Date of Interview 8 August 2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: cl. 1 and 20

Identification of prior art discussed: Buc-Caon (1995) Neurobiology of Disease 2:37-47

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Response filed 20 July 2002 overcomes rejections on claims 14+15 (claims cancelled) and the 112, 2nd rejections (cl. 20-24); ^{Possible} Amendments to cl. 1 to overcome art rejections discussed were addition of language such as "subsequent" or "sequential steps"; enablement and scope of enablement rejections ~~require~~ will be reconsidered in view of response and any subsequent response or declaration submitted
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.